

amendment. (Read the Lewis amendment to the Goodrich amendment as found on page 1245 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Lewis.

SENATOR LEWIS: Well, there is other language. The other language provides for 66 2/3 for original and new members. Is that the terminology I want, Glenn? Future members... that is future instead of new, in case it looks like it is new. This is consistent with what we have done on most plans because that includes also some other benefits that may be coming and I think that is the fair procedure and I ask for the adoption of my amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Carsten. You are speaking to the Lewis amendment to the Goodrich amendment.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Yes. Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I just want to be sure now I understand. Senator Lewis, we are putting a cap on both classes. Is that right? The old ones and the new ones, both, and they are both at the same rate of 66 2/3? Okay. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Mike not activated)....Legislature, I was going to amend an amendment that Senator Carsten was going to offer. His would say 72½ percent and I was going to make it 60 percent. Then I heard the exchange between Senator Lewis and Senator Goodrich and on the surface it sounded good...66 2/3 for both of them. But it is giving those others a 6 2/3 percent increase and really I guess that is what it is giving the future ones too because they would have been capped at 60 were it not for the bill that is before us today, 533. So I don't think there was an intent to do it but I feel like I almost was a part of a fast shuffle. So I am not sure that I am going to support this now. I think I am going to lay with my proposal when the opportunity comes since Senator Goodrich....maybe I will have to do it a different way, maybe make it 61 percent, but to keep them at the same level. Now these future judges are going to have increases in salary, so they will have more dollars, and they are going to have a greater percentage of more dollars than the old judges, earlier judges or whatever they are called, obsolete judges. But anyway, we have a category....let's take it so that I can make clear what I am trying to say. One of the former judges would serve for twenty years. One of the future judges would serve for twenty years. But the future judge's